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A Review of Disruption (*known unknowns*)

- Industry (& labor) disruption is inevitable (*known*)
- Technology tends to drive this disruption (*unknown*)
- Robotics is a special case of this phenomenon
- This type of disruption impacts real world economics

- Reminder about geometric sequences
- Progressive decline in pricing - estimations
- NEW CONCEPT “MECC”: Marginal Effective Cost of Consumption (technology + design)
Why we care about the “disruption’ frame

- What do VCs fund (in this space), and why?
- What does Marc Andreessen’s claim that ‘software is eating the world’ have to do with robotics?
- Does this impact what should or could be studied?
- Should (can?) researchers use these frames to better identify questions to answer?
- How do regulators and policy-makers use disruption frames to do a better job?

Elements: Rate of Change, Network Effects, Transactions Costs, Externalities, Public Goods & Marginal Cost of Consumption (vs. Production)
Punchline about the “elements”

Rate of Change – fairly obvious
Network Effects – autonomous cars as an example
Transactions Costs – Google Adwords as example
Externalities – “internalizing them” (think sensors)
Public Goods – avoiding/solving them (non-rival, non-excludable)
Marginal Effective Cost of Consumption (vs. Production) – More on that soon
A way of jump starting the conversation:
- Old, New, Next
- Nuisance, Materially Impactful, Game Changer
- “What is the weirdest thing that could happen?”
- “What if we stretched that analysis out 100 years?”

- Security (Cybersecurity)
- Pricing
- Logistics
- Production (including Human Capital)
- Compliance, Legal, & Liability (IP issues ++)
- Service (interaction)
- Analytics
Humans are bad at the intuition around compounding (and geometric sequences generally)

Benjamin Franklin’s Wisdom

- Money makes money and the money that money makes makes more money
- Future value of an investment

\[ FV = C_0 \times (1 + r)^N \]

When betting on doubling a penny everyday for a month… [spreadsheet]

What is the most important legal question?
Implications for project/business credibility?
Implications for why researchers miss disruption?
Pixels, Focus, and Convenience
But what about before 2013?
Photography: An example

- Photo taking, processing, sharing
- Concept of diminishing variable cost
- If reduction of variable cost is geometric?
- Digital (camera sensor technology + phones)
- Digital with less expensive storage
- Digital with sharing ability (transmission)
- Digital with auto-sharing ability (Instagram)
- What digital does to marginal/variable cost
- At what rate is that changing?
- What happens when it hits zero? (use cases!)
- What happens when \textit{cost of consumption} = zero?
Higher Education: Disruption Looming

- The specifics are interesting but a distraction
  - MOOCs (Massive Open Online Courses)
  - On Demand, Drop-In customer courses (online)
  - Peer moderated discussions & study groups
  - Cloud based materials & exercises

- The trends are where the strategy emerges
  - Digital-Physical blur – decoupled from geography
  - Self directed and time-shifted consumption
  - Crowdsourced feedback and reviews
  - Cost of production (per student) dropping fast
  - Cost of consumption (by student) dropping faster

- Winner take all features promise big disruption (network effects more common now)
Thinking Harder about Cost of Consumption

- Distinguishing from Cost of Production
  - Vehicles and Driving
  - If free (including maintenance) do you drive more?
  - Where is the greater cost of consumption? (Note TESLA – maint)
  - What disruption is, therefore, more powerful? (Note Waze)

- Isolate the broad opportunity (consumption) costs
  - Yelp/Google/Trip Advisor Restaurant Reviews
  - Relative (larger) cost (risk) of eating out?
  - Hackers & tool costs/time to learn.scale deployment?
  - Search (research), Storage real costs, analytics (statistics)?
  - Job searching, salary analysis

- Recognize the user element of design
Finding Patterns in Any Industry

- **Video games & Entertainment & Drones**
  - Why are smart phone games so popular (if ‘inferior’)?
  - Why do sensors and networking matter?
  - Why “recommended for you” is transformative.

- **Delivery Services, Parking Garages, & Taxis**
  - What “costs” consumers the most in a taxi ecosystem?
  - What does software have to do with delivery pricing?
  - Why are parking garages at risk in San Francisco?

- **Shipping & Trucking**
  - Maersk and the Altona Container Facility (forklift miles)
  - Sleepiness monitors, wind tracking, & traffic predictions?
Understanding Horses & Mules

Rate of Change

Network Effects

Transactions Costs

Externalities

Public Good Effects

Marginal Effective Cost of Consumption (of horses vs. tractors)

But first a quick review of the very fast decline of horses on farms…
### U.S. Equine Population During Mechanization of Agriculture and Transportation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of Horses and Mules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>21,531,635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1905</td>
<td>22,077,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1910</td>
<td>24,042,882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1915</td>
<td>26,493,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1920</td>
<td>25,199,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1925</td>
<td>22,081,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1930</td>
<td>18,885,856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1935</td>
<td>16,676,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1940</td>
<td>13,931,531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1945</td>
<td>11,629,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>7,604,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>4,309,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>3,089,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data on work animals were no longer collected by the Census after 1960.

Source: Compiled by Economic Research Service, USDA, using data from *Census of Agriculture* and *Census of the United States*.

Source: Adapted from Ensminger (1969).
Tractor Power Overcomes Horse Power in 1945

Animal and tractor power. Data from U.S. Dept. of Commerce. [Graph based on estimate that one tractor could replace five horses on a farm.]
Exercise: Disruption Elements & Why

Use the following elements of the “horse vs. tractor” analysis (by the farmer) to highlight possible sources of disruption.
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Public Good Effects

Marginal Effective Cost of Consumption (of horses vs. tractors)
How do you reframe employer/worker?

- Cost of Production (for labor component) of the employment relationship? WAGES
- Are employers buying labor or future output?
- Cost of Consumption (for labor component) of the employment relationship? EVERYTHING ELSE
  - Recruitment
  - Supervision
  - Training
  - What else?
- Note about the “gig economy” – reduces MECC?
- Note about “robotics” – reduces MECC?
Labor Displacement & Substitution

- **Transaction Costs Related to Labor Procurement, Fit, and Retention**
  - Search, Recruit, Evaluate, Train, Retain
    - Each labor unit is somewhat unique, case by case review
    - Fit is only partially knowable prior to actual work
    - Skills & performance can vary in both directions over time
    - Duration of suitability (and willingness) is uncertain

- **Network Effects, Interoperability, & Skill Transference**
  - Low Human Network Effects, Opposite for Robots
  - Industrial examples & cars: mix/match is sub-optimal
  - Non-competes? (Innovation sharing) – IP protection
  - Training? Cultural influence? Ramp-up times?
Labor Displacement & Substitution - cont

- Capital Structure & Finance Issues
  - CAPEX vs. Cash…. (Robots are CAPEX)
  - Implications for borrowing & leverage
  - Flexibility in depreciation
- If Employer Tax schemes remain the same
- Balance Sheets (and Taxes)
- Balance Sheet impact